

DETERMINATION OF SUITABLE CATALYST FOR THE CATALYTIC OXIDATION OF TOLUENE TO BENZALDEHYDE USING COMBINED $\text{CrO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ OXIDANT SYSTEM

¹ABDU M.S.,²ASKA, A.S.,³FARUQ U.Z.,⁴DANGOGGOS.M.,and⁵ALI A.U

^{1&2}Department of Chemistry, AminuSalehCollege of Education Azare- Bauchi State, Nigeria

^{3,4&5}Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, UsmanuDanfodio University Sokoto, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

The research is designed to determine the suitable catalyst for the oxidation of toluene to benzaldehyde using $\text{CrO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ oxidant system under appropriate conditions of temperature and reaction time. Nine (9) catalysts [Cu_2O , CuO , $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$, $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$, $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, Calcined CaCO_3 , MnO_2] and Eight(8) oxidant systems [50% KMnO_4 , Solid KMnO_4 , Acidified KMnO_4 , $\text{KMnO}_4/\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$, H_2O_2 , CrO_3 , $\text{CrO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, Air] were used in the study. The toluene oxidation was carried out using each oxidant by separate application of all the nine catalysts either in aqueous or acidic medium. The results revealed that out of the various catalysts and oxidants used, only three (3) catalysts [$\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$] and one oxidant ($\text{CrO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$) produced the targeted product. When the same three catalysts were applied on the oxidants in acidic medium, benzoic acids were produced but when applied on oxidants in aqueous medium benzylalcohols were produced. The percentage yield of the benzaldehyde products were calculated at various temperatures and reaction times. When $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was used as a catalyst, low yield of 1.0% was obtained at 40°C and 10 minutes reaction time. The high yield of 1.25% was obtained at 50°C and 10 minutes reaction time. But when the temperature was raised to 60°C and the reaction time was extended to 20 minutes, the yield dropped to 0.69%. When $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was used as a catalyst, the low yield of 0.20% was obtained at 30°C and 10 minutes reaction time. The high yield of 1.66% was obtained at 40°C and 10 minutes reaction time. Similarly, when the temperature was raised to 60°C and the reaction time was extended to 20 minutes, the yield dropped to 1.09%. However, when $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was used as a catalyst, the low yield of 1.0% was obtained at 30°C and 20 minutes reaction time. The high yield of 1.90% was obtained at 40°C and 20 minutes reaction time. But when the temperature was raised to 60°C and 10 minutes reaction time, the yield dropped to 0.65%. Therefore, based on the percentage yield obtained from the three effective catalysts used, $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was found to be the most suitable catalyst for the oxidation of toluene to benzaldehyde using $\text{CrO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ oxidant system.

Keywords: Catalytic, Oxidation, Toluene, Chromium(vi)oxide/Hydrogen peroxide, Benzaldehyde

INTRODUCTION

Studies of catalysts and catalyzed reactions have multiplied over the years due to their variety of applications in industries. Catalytic studies cut across the entire field of chemistry including organic, inorganic and physical chemistry. This is because catalysis is concerned with controlling the rate and the direction of a chemical reaction. Literature revealed that the first row transition metals are suitable catalysts due to their low price and availability which will bring down the cost of production. A catalytic reaction is one in which more than one turn over or events occur per reaction centre or catalytically active site (Smith and Notheis, 1999). Generally, for the selection of suitable catalysts consideration should be given to the reaction medium, nature of the catalyst, phase, reaction time and conditions of temperature and pressure. Similarly recent literature indicated that although some achievements have been obtained in the catalytic oxidation of toluene to benzaldehyde, its (benzaldehyde) yield is still relatively low (Gepeng, 2010). Some other recent works investigated the production of benzaldehyde by liquid-phase homogeneous catalytic oxidation of toluene using different catalysts (Gepeng, 2010). The choice of $\text{CrO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ oxidant system is based on the fact that although H_2O_2 is a very good oxidizing agent, but its decomposition is very slow that is why it is combined with CrO_3 which catalyzed its decomposition to release the O_2 needed for the oxidation process. Although, benzaldehyde has been produced for decades using different methods, yet the search for more efficient methods of its production is still on-going. This is due to the fact that almost all the existing methods suffer from one drawback or another. Thus, Some of the catalytic reactions were carried out in gas phase which required high pressure and cooling system to condense the product and residual reactants (Zhang *et al.*, 2004). Some catalysts gave high yield but also produced side products thereby making the separation of benzaldehyde very difficult and expensive (Groot and de Bont, 1998). Therefore, this research is designed to determine appropriate catalyst for an efficient oxidation of toluene to benzaldehyde using $\text{CrO}_3 / \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ oxidant system.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The materials and reagents used are toluene, Hydrogen peroxide, Chromium vi oxide, Sulphuric acid, Potassium permanganate, Acetic acid, distilled water, Acetone, Nine (9) Catalysts; Cu_2O , CuO , $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$, $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$, $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, Calcined CaCO_3 and MnO_2 .

METHODOLOGY

Eleven (11) different oxidants were prepared according to standard procedure (Farouq, 2008). The substrate, toluene was prepared as described below. The following Catalysts; Cu_2O , CuO ,

Calcined CaCO_3 and MnO_2 were weighed and used in powdered form while $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$, $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$, $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were prepared as described below. The oxidation reaction was conducted in acid or aqueous medium using different oxidants and catalysts on the substrate. The products of the oxidation reactions were tested for the presence of alcohols, aldehydes and acids using the spot test (Shriner *et al.*, 1998). Furthermore, GCMS analysis was employed with a view to determining the yield and also to investigate the effects of temperature and reaction time on the products.

Preparation of Toluene

1M Toluene Solution in Benzene was used in the experiment. The solution was prepared by transferring 10ml of toluene into 100cm^3 volumetric flask and the flask was filled to the mark with benzene. The flask was stopped and shaken properly to ensure uniform mixing. The solution was then kept for further use.

Preparation of Catalysts

1.40g of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was dissolved in 50cm^3 of distilled water in a beaker and the content was transferred into 1000cm^3 volumetric flask. The beaker was rinsed five times with about 50cm^3 of distilled water in each case and the washings were transferred into the volumetric flask. The flask was then filled to the mark with distilled water. The flask was stopped, shaken properly and then kept for further use. The same procedure was used in the preparation of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$ and $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$ catalysts solutions.

Oxidation of Toluene using combine oxidant of $\text{CrO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ and Catalysts

0.5g of CrO_3 , 50cm^3 distilled water, 50cm^3 of prepared $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were added into the reaction flask followed by 50cm^3 of prepared 1M solution of toluene. The content was heated to 80°C , then 25cm^3 of 100 volumes of H_2O_2 were added. The mouth of the reaction tank was properly closed with rubber cork to prevent escape of gases. The temperature was maintained at 80°C with continuous stirring for 5 hours. At the end, the organic layer was separated and distilled to about 10cm^3 and analyzed for the presence of oxidation products. The same procedure was repeated with each of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in place of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$.

Analysis of the Oxidation products

Oxidation products were tested for the presence of Alcohols, Aldehydes and Carboxylic acids using spot test.

RESULTS

Table 1.1: Preliminary spot Test for Alcohols, Aldehydes and Carboxylic acids

Reagents	Alcohols	Aldehydes	Carboxylic acid	Inference
Jone's reagent	+ve	-	-	Effective
2,4-dinitrophenyl hydrazine reagent	-	+ve	-	Effective
Saturated NaHCO ₃ Solution	-	-	+ve	Effective

Table 1a. Results of the analysis of the oxidation products using 50% KMnO₄ Solution

SUBSTRATE	OXIDANT	MEDIUM	CATALYST	PRODUCT ANALYSIS		
				ALCOHOL	ALDEHYDE	ACIDS
TOLUENE	50% KMnO ₄	AQUEOUS	CUO	-	-	-
			CU ₂ O	-	-	-
			CU(CH ₃ COO) ₂	-	-	-
			Co(CH ₃ COO) ₂	-	-	-
			CUSO ₄ 5H ₂ O	-	-	-
			Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ .9H ₂ O	-	-	-
			Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ .7H ₂ O	-	-	-
			CALCINED CaCO ₃	-	-	-
			MnO ₂	-	-	-

KEY: -- not detectedTable 1b. Results of the analysis of the oxidation products using solid KMnO₄ crystals

SUBSTRATE	OXIDANT	MEDIUM	CATALYST	PRODUCT ANALYSIS		
				ALCOHOL	ALDEHYDE	ACIDS
TOLUENE	Solid KMnO ₄	AQUEOUS	CUO	-	-	-
			CU ₂ O	-	-	-
			CU(CH ₃ COO) ₂	-	-	-
			Co(CH ₃ COO) ₂	-	-	-
			CUSO ₄ 5H ₂ O	-	-	-
			Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ .9H ₂ O	-	-	-
			Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ .7H ₂ O	-	-	-
			CALCINED CaCO ₃	-	-	-
			MnO ₂	-	-	-

KEY: -- not detected

Table 1c. Results of the analysis of the oxidation products using acidified KMnO_4 solution

SUBSTRATE	OXIDANT	MEDIUM	CATALYST	PRODUCT ANALYSIS		
				ALCOHOL	ALDEHYDE	ACIDS
TOLUENE	Solid KMnO_4	ACID	CUO	-	-	-
			Cu_2O	-	-	-
			$\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$	-	-	-
			$\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$	-	-	-
			$\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$	-	-	-
			$\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$	-	-	+
			$\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$	-	-	+
			CALCINED CaCO_3	-	-	-
			MnO_2	-	-	-

KEY: -- = not detected, + = detected

Table 1d. Results of the analysis of the oxidation products using acidified mixture of KMnO_4 and $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ Solution

SUBSTRATE	OXIDANT	MEDIUM	CATALYST	PRODUCT ANALYSIS		
				ALCOHOL	ALDEHYDE	ACIDS
TOLUENE	$\text{KMnO}_4/\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$	ACID	CUO	-	-	-
			Cu_2O	-	-	-
			$\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$	-	-	-
			$\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$	-	-	-
			$\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$	-	-	+
			$\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$	-	-	+
			$\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$	-	-	+
			CALCINED CaCO_3	-	-	-
			MnO_2	-	-	-

KEY: -- = not detected, + = detected

Table 1e. Results of the analysis of the oxidation products using Aqueous H_2O_2 Solution

SUBSTRATE	OXIDANT	MEDIUM	CATALYST	PRODUCT ANALYSIS		
				ALCOHOL	ALDEHYDE	ACIDS
TOLUENE	H_2O_2	AQUEOUS	CUO	-	-	-
			Cu_2O	-	-	-
			$\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$	-	-	-
			$\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$	-	-	-
			$\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$	-	-	-
			$\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$	+	-	-
			$\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$	+	-	-
			CALCINED CaCO_3	-	-	-
			MnO_2	-	-	-

KEY: -- = not detected, + = detectedTable 1f. Results of the analysis of the oxidation products using CrO₃ solution

SUBSTRATE	OXIDANT	MEDIUM	CATALYST	PRODUCT ANALYSIS		
				ALCOHOL	ALDEHYDE	ACIDS
TOLUENE	CrO ₃	AQUEOUS	CUO	-	-	-
			CU ₂ O	-	-	-
			CU(CH ₃ COO) ₂	-	-	-
			Co(CH ₃ COO) ₂	-	-	-
			CUSO ₄ 5H ₂ O	-	-	-
			Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ .9H ₂ O	-	-	-
			Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ .7H ₂ O	-	-	-
			CALCINED CaCO ₃	-	-	-
			MnO ₂	-	-	-

KEY: -- = not detectedTable 1g. Results of the analysis of the oxidation products using CrO₃ in Acetic Acid

SUBSTRATE	OXIDANT	MEDIUM	CATALYST	PRODUCT ANALYSIS		
				ALCOHOL	ALDEHYDE	ACIDS
TOLUENE	CrO ₃	Acetic acid	CUO	-	-	-
			CU ₂ O	-	-	-
			CU(CH ₃ COO) ₂	-	-	-
			Co(CH ₃ COO) ₂	-	-	-
			CUSO ₄ 5H ₂ O	-	-	-
			Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ .9H ₂ O	-	-	+
			Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ .7H ₂ O	-	-	+
			CALCINED CaCO ₃	-	-	-
			MnO ₂	-	-	-

KEY: -- = not detected, + = detectedTable 1h. Results of the analysis of the oxidation products using CrO₃/H₂O₂ in Aqueous

SUBSTRATE	OXIDANT	MEDIUM	CATALYST	PRODUCT ANALYSIS		
				ALCOHOL	ALDEHYDE	ACIDS
TOLUENE	CrO ₃ /H ₂ O ₂	AQUEOUS	CUO	-	-	-
			CU ₂ O	-	-	-
			CU(CH ₃ COO) ₂	-	-	-
			Co(CH ₃ COO) ₂	-	-	-
			CUSO ₄ 5H ₂ O	-	+	-
			Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ .9H ₂ O	-	+	-
			Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ .7H ₂ O	-	+	-
			CALCINED CaCO ₃	-	-	-
			MnO ₂	-	-	-

KEY: -- = not detected, + = detected

Table 1i. Results of the analysis of the oxidation products using Air as Oxidant

SUBSTRATE	OXIDANT	MEDIUM	CATALYST	PRODUCT ANALYSIS		
				ALCOHOL	ALDEHYDE	ACIDS
TOLUENE	AIR	DILUTE ACETIC ACID	CUO	-	-	-
			CU ₂ O	-	-	-
			CU(CH ₃ COO) ₂	-	-	-
			Co(CH ₃ COO) ₂	-	-	-
			CUSO ₄ 5H ₂ O	-	-	+
			Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ .9H ₂ O	-	-	+
			Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ .7H ₂ O	-	-	+
			CALCINED CaCO ₃	-	-	-
			MnO ₂	-	-	-

KEY: - = not detected, + = detected

Table 2a: Results of GCMS analysis of the product obtained using CUSO₄ 5H₂O as a Catalyst

Reaction Temp.(°C)	Reaction Time(min.)	Product	Yield (%)
30	10	Benzaldehyde	0.20
	20	Benzaldehyde	0.38
	30	-	-
40	10	Benzaldehyde	1.66
		Benzoic acid	0.56
	20	Benzaldehyde	1.22
	30	-	-
50	10	Benzaldehyde	1.23
	20	Benzaldehyde	1.50
	30	-	-
60	10	Benzaldehyde	1.23
	20	Benzaldehyde	1.09
	30	-	-

Table 2b: Results of GCMS analysis of the product obtained using Fe₂(SO₄)₃.9H₂O as a Catalyst

Reaction Temp.(°C)	Reaction Time(min.)	Product	Yield (%)
30	10	-	-
	20	-	-
	30	-	-
40	10	Benzaldehyde	1.0
	20	Benzaldehyde	1.1
	30	-	-
50	10	Benzaldehyde	1.25

	20	Benzaldehyde	1.20
	30	-	-
60	10	Benzaldehyde	1.10
	20	Benzaldehyde	0.69
	30	-	-

Table 2c: Results of GCMS analysis of the product obtained using $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as a Catalyst

Reaction Temp.(°C)	Reaction Time(min.)	Product	Yield (%)
30	10	Benzyl alcohol	0.75
	20	Benzaldehyde	1.0
	30	-	-
40	10	Benzaldehyde	1.60
	20	Benzaldehyde	1.90
	30	-	-
50	10	-	-
	20	-	-
	30	-	-
60	10	Benzyl alcohol	0.84
		Benzaldehyde	0.65
		Benzoic acid	1.94
	20	Benzoic acid	1.50
	30	-	-

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The preliminary tests(table 1.0) were conducted to test the workability of the spot tests reagents in identifying the oxidation products in to alcohols, aldehydes and carboxylic acid. Although the spot tests might not be sensitive enough to detect small quantities of above mentioned products, however, the aim was to identify those reactions that give substantial amount of the target products that can be used for further analysis. The choice of catalysts was based on their availability and low prices. Out of the nine (9) catalysts employed, only three(3) [$\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$] yielded detectable oxidation products comprising of alcohols, aldehydes and carboxylic acids. However, the identity of the products and their yields could not be detected using the spot tests. Therefore, GCMS analysis was employed on the aldehyde products. Furthermore, in order to investigate the effect of temperature and reaction time on the yield, the reactions were repeated at different temperatures(30, 40, 50 and 60°C) and reaction times(10, 20 and 30mins).

Table 3.0 showed the result of the reaction with $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as a catalyst, gave three different products that is, benzylalcohol, benzaldehyde and benzoic acid. At 30°C and 10 minutes reaction time, a very small amount of benzyl alcohol was produced (0.75%). When the reaction time was increased to 20 minutes, benzaldehyde was produced instead of benzyl alcohol. This suggests that the first product of the reaction was benzylalcohol which was oxidized further to benzaldehyde when the reaction time was increased. At 40°C , benzaldehyde was produced in both 10 and 20 minutes reaction times. However, at 60°C and 10 minutes reaction time, three products were identified; benzyl alcohol, benzaldehyde and benzoic acid. But when the reaction time was doubled to 20 minutes, the product was exclusively benzoic acid. This suggests succession of oxidation reaction from alcohol to aldehyde and finally to acid. It further shows that high temperature and long reaction time result in over oxidation to acid.

Table 4.0 gave the result of the oxidation products with $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as catalyst. Before the reaction, the result was expected to be the same with that of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, simply because the main compounds are the same $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$, the only difference was in the water of crystallization. Interestingly, the products were not entirely the same indicating that the water of crystallization serves to modify the catalytic property. On using $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$, the product was exclusively benzaldehyde. The yield which was within the range of 1.0 to 1.25% did not indicate the presence of either benzylalcohol or benzoic acid. But when the temperature was raised to 60°C and the reaction time was extended to 20 minutes, the yield dropped to 0.69%. This could not be explained as no other product was identified. Ordinarily, over oxidation to benzoic acid was expected but the GC/MS spectrum of the product did not show the presence of the expected benzoic acid. The possible explanation might be deactivation of the catalyst with the increase in temperature.

Table 5.0 shows the GCMS result of the products obtained when $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was used as the catalyst. Almost all the products here were benzaldehyde with only a record of benzoic acid at 40°C . The yield was very low at 30°C and it fluctuated within the range of 1.22 to 1.66%. However, the yield tends to drop when the reaction was carried out at 60°C for 20 minutes. This is very similar to the observation made in the previous case where the yield declined at 60°C . The expectation was further oxidation to benzoic acid at higher temperature but the GC/MS data did not show presence of benzoic acid. Therefore, the low yield can be attributed to either reversed reaction or deactivation of the catalyst with temperature. Another possible reason might be due to evaporation or fast decomposition of the hydrogen peroxide which is the source of oxygen for oxidation. The unavailability of the oxygen will certainly result in lower product yield and that is the most plausible of all the possibilities.

In general the yield was very low which might have resulted from different reasons. On the one hand, the reaction time was very short compared to many such reactions that range between 2 to 10 hours. For example, Acharyya et al, 2014 used CuCr_2O_4 and obtained 57.5% conversion after 10 hours of the

reaction. On the other hand, the temperature of the reaction was very low compared to most of what was recorded in the literature. For example Wang et al 2005 carried out their reaction at 190°C on copper based catalyst and obtained 7% conversion. It was expected that the yield will actually improve with increase in temperature and reaction time. The yield is also expected to increase with increase in temperature, but at high temperature, there is the possibility that the reactants might turn to vapour.

CONCLUSION

Although temperature and reaction time played greater roles in this oxidation process, however, suitable catalyst accounted for the high yield of the target product. In view of this therefore, the most suitable catalyst for the oxidation of toluene to benzaldehyde using $\text{CrO}_3 / \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ oxidant system is $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Authors are grateful to the management of AminuSaleh College of Education, AzareBauchi State for their contribution. The academic contributions of Professor U. Z. Farouq, Prof. S.M.DANGOGGO and Prof. A.U. ALI of UsmanuDanfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria is highly acknowledged. The Lab. Technician and Lab. Assistants; Malam Ibrahim Shira, Ibrahim Musa and Nura Musa of Chemistry Lab., AminuSaleh College of Education, Azare should be mentioned for their efforts.

REFERENCES

- Acharyya K., Anirban R., Ananya C., Debal R. and Adhiray D. (2014): invitro antioxidative Behaviour of Ethanolic extract of Russula albonigra. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research 6(3):1366-1372.
- Faruq U. Z. (2008); Oxidation of Cyclohexane, Cyclohexene and Petroleum fractions using chromium (vi) oxide in acetic acid. Ph.D Thesis in Petroleum chemistry, submitted to DPAC, UDUS.
- Gepeng (2010); Natural Science foundation of Ningbo, China (No. 2011A610143)
- Groot M. N. and de Bont J. A. M. (1998); Appl. environ Microbiol. 64(8)3009-3013.
- Shriner, R L; Hermann, CKE Morrill, TC., Curtin DY. and Fuson RC (1998); the systematic identification of Organic compounds. 7th edition. Willey; New York. P 300-355.
- Smith, GV and Notheis F (1999); Heterogenous catalysis in Organic chemistry. Academic press.

Wang J. Xiaoqiong J. Lipeng Z and Ryuichiro, O.(2005); Liquid phase oxidation of toluene to Benzaldehyde with Molecular Oxygen Over Copper-Based Heterogenous Catalysis Advanced synthesis and catalysis. Vol 347 No.15 p.1987-1992.

Zhang, L.M and Weihua L (2004); Gas Phase Selective catalytic Oxidation of Toluene to Benzaldehyde on V₂O₅-Ag₂O/n-Al₂O₃ catalyst. Journal of Natural Gas Chemistry 13 p. 238-243.